Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 29 (2006), 263-279 (in press)

# A Sensorimotor Approach to the Treatment

# of Trauma and Dissociation

Pat Ogden, Ph.D., Sensorimotor Psychotherapy Institute, Boulder, Colorado Clare Pain, M.D., Department of Psychiatry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario Janina Fisher, Ph.D. The Trauma Center, Boston, Massachusetts

# A Sensorimotor Approach to the Treatment of Trauma and Dissociation<sup>1</sup>

Pat Ogden, Ph.D., Clare Pain, M.D., and Janina Fisher, Ph.D.

Psychotherapists who work with survivors of psychological trauma recognize the almost inevitable clinical complexity of trauma-related disorders. Traumatized individuals do not just suffer with memories of tragic and horrifying experiences: they demonstrate a number of complicated and debilitating signs, symptoms and difficulties consisting primarily of bodily responses to dysregulated affects. These often have no clear subjective connection to their fragments of narrative memory (North, et al., 1999).

Most traumatized individuals fulfill the criteria for a number of co-existing diagnoses, which usually include mood disorders, anxiety disorders, substance abuse and dependence disorders, eating disorders, somatoform disorders, and medically unexplained symptoms (Davidson, Jughes, Blazer & George, 1991; Faustman & White, 1989; Kulka, et al., 1990). These complications are reflected in the DSM-IV TR by the inclusion of more than 12 associated features of PTSD (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The formal diagnosis of PTSD contains three diagnostic post-traumatic symptom clusters: symptoms indicative of intrusive reliving of the trauma, the avoidance and numbing symptoms, and symptoms of increased autonomic arousal. The episodic alternation between the avoidance and reliving symptoms "is the result of dissociation: traumatic events are distanced and dissociated from usual conscious awareness in the numbing phase, only to return in the intrusive phase" (Chu, 1998). Triggered by stimuli reminiscent of the trauma, these dissociated fragments of past experience return unbidden in the form of both psychological symptoms (amnesia, cognitive schemas of badness or worthlessness, intrusive images, dysregulated emotions) and somatoform symptoms (physical pain, physical numbing, intrusive sensations, and dysregulated autonomic arousal) (Brewin, Dalgleish, Joseph, 1996). However, despite the central involvement of somatoform symptoms in the expression of unresolved trauma, the autonomic and somatic components of trauma-related

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To be printed in Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 2006

disorders have been relatively neglected in both the treatment and understandings of these disorders (van der Kolk, 1994)

### Talk therapies and exposure therapy:

Although there is evidence to suggest that treatments targeting the various symptoms and problems of trauma-related disorders can promote significant improvement in some patients, full remediation of these disorders is elusive (Bradley, Greene, Russ, Dutra & Westen, 2005; Ursano, et al., 2004). Traditional "talk therapy" approaches, a category that includes any method that depends on the words of the client as the primary entry point of therapy, have tended to address the explicit, verbally accessible components of trauma. They emphasize the role of narrative, emotional expression, and meaning-making (Brewin & Holmes, 2003; Herman, 1992). However, because avoidance and withdrawal comprise a core diagnostic cluster in traumarelated disorders, it is increasingly recognized that in vitro and/or imaginal exposure to the potent elements in the patient's experience is usually a necessary ingredient in successful trauma treatment (Foa & Rothbaum, 1998). Although a potentially effective ingredient, exposure to episodes of past trauma in any model of therapy can exacerbate rather than resolve symptoms. As the narrative or explicit memory is re-told, the implicit, somatosensory components of the memory are simultaneously activated, frequently leading to a re-experiencing of somatoform symptoms which can include: autonomic dysregulation, dissociative defenses associated with hyper and hypoarousal states, intrusive sensory experiences and involuntary movements. This debilitating, repetitive cycle of mind-body triggering can thwart desensitization regimes and keep past trauma "alive," prolonging rather than resolving, trauma-related disorders (Aposhyan, 2004; Kepner, 1987; LeDoux, 1996; Rothschild, 2000; Siegel, 1999; Van der Hart & Steele, 1999; Van der Kolk, McFarlane & Weisaeth, 1996). A considerable number of patients do drop out of exposure therapy, perhaps because they are not sufficiently stable to manage it without the threat of retraumatization (Burnstein, 1986; Devilly & Foa, 2001; Pitman, et al., 1991; Scott & Stradling, 1997; Tarrier, 2001; Tarrier, Sommerfield, Pilgrim & Humphreys, 1999).

For the clients who are able to sustain exposure-type treatments there are two which are popular and well researched, and which in different ways address the behavioural avoidance as well as the reliving and hyperarousal responses. They are Prolonged Exposure (PE) (Foa, et al., 1999) and Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) (Shapiro, 1989). Both therapies have also been studied in treatment trials with patients who are relatively stable and/or have adult-onset, single-incident trauma. However, even in well-run studies of validated treatments, only about 50% of patients demonstrate significant improvement. For example, in a study comparing PE vs. cognitive restructuring (Tarrier, et al, 1999), the majority of subjects had experienced a traumatic event lasting less than one hour. Results demonstrated that the effects of both treatments were comparable but, at six months follow-up, half of the treated individuals still met the criteria for PTSD while only 25% reported a return to premorbid functioning. In a similarly well-conducted study of imaginal exposure, cognitive restructuring, or a combination of both as compared with relaxation training, all but the relaxation training had a beneficial effect in decreasing PTSD symptoms (Marks, Lovell, Noshirvani, Livanou, & Thrasher, 1998). However, although only one third of subjects still met the criteria for PTSD at termination, less than 50% showed a marked improvement. Strict inclusion and exclusion criteria can serve to increase rates of effectiveness, as demonstrated by an effectiveness study in which PE was compared both to stress inoculation and the combination of both (Foa, et al, 1999). Despite the superior results for PE in this study, still less than 50% of the subjects (all female assault victims) were found to have good end state functioning at one-year follow up.

## Top down and bottom up therapies:

It is perhaps the sensorimotor symptoms and the autonomic dysregulation of chronic traumatic re-experiencing and avoidance, which prove difficult to ameliorate, even in the relatively straightforward PTSD clients who have single-incident traumatic experience as adults. To address these bodily based symptoms of trauma as well as the psychological components, a different approach to treatment may be helpful. We propose that it is possible to weave sensorimotor understandings and techniques into existing psychodynamic or cognitive-behavioral models of therapy including exposure treatments (Chefetz, 2004). The working premise in most approaches to psychotherapy, less so with exposure techniques, is that change occurs in a "top-down" direction. That is, a significant change in a patient's thought processes (either through insight, exposure or cognitive restructuring), in conjunction with remembering or therapeutic re-experiencing of the event will resolve the problematic emotions, behaviors and physical symptoms of the patient. Although top-down therapy is effective and necessary in

helping patients with many important therapeutic tasks, the addition of "bottom-up" approaches that directly address the effects of traumatic experience on the body may be equally necessary.

Sensorimotor psychotherapy (Ogden & Minton, 2000; Ogden, Minton & Pain, in press) is an approach developed to address specifically the resolution of the somatic symptoms of unresolved trauma. In sensorimotor psychotherapy, bodily experience becomes the primary entry point for intervention, while emotional expression and meaning-making arise out of the subsequent somatic reorganization of habitual trauma-related responses. That is, sensorimotor approaches work from the "bottom-up" rather than "top-down," By attending to the patient's body directly, it becomes possible to address the more primitive, automatic and involuntary functions of the brain that underlie traumatic and post-traumatic responses. Sensorimotor psychotherapy is founded on the premise that "the brain functions as an integrated whole but is comprised of systems that are hierarchically organized. The 'higher level' [cognitive] integrative functions evolved from and are dependent on the integrity of 'lower-level' [limbic and reptilian] structures and on sensorimotor experience" (Fisher, Murray & Bundy, 1991).

The capacity of human beings for self-awareness, interpretation, abstract thought and feeling exists within this developmental and hierarchical relationship to the instinctual and nonconscious responses of the body. These hierarchically-organized, interconnected responses range from instinctual arousal and mammalian defenses, feelings and affective expression to thoughts, reflective self awareness and meaning-making. Wilbur's (1996) notion of hierarchical information processing describes the evolutionary and functional hierarchy among these three levels of organizing experience: cognitive, emotional and sensorimotor. In neuropsychology, MacLean (1985) has conceptualized this hierarchy as the "triune brain," or a "brain with a brain within a brain." In MacLean's theory, the human brain is the product of evolutionary hierarchical development: first to develop in the human infant is the reptilian brain (comprised of the brain stem and cerebellum), which governs arousal, homeostasis of the organism, reproductive drives, sensation and instinctual movement impulses, the heart of sensorimotor experience. The 'second' brain is the "paleomammalian brain" or "limbic brain," found in all mammals, which anatomically surrounds the reptilian brain and serves to regulates somatosensory experience, emotion, memory, some social behavior, and learning (Cozolinno, 2002). Last to develop phylogenetically is the neocortex, which enables cognitive information processing, self-awareness, executive functioning, and conceptual thinking (MacLean, 1985).

This hierarchical organization results in two distinctly different directions of information processing: from the "top-down" or the "bottom-up," and the interplay between them holds significant implications for the treatment of trauma (Ledoux, 2002). Schore (2002) notes that for adults, in non-traumatic circumstances, "higher cortical areas" act as "control centers," such that the orbital prefrontal cortex hierarchically dominates subcortical activity with "veto power" over limbic responses. Thus, top-down processing enables us to outline plans, determine what to accomplish for the day, and then structure time to meet particular goals. Emotions and sensations, such as feelings of frustration, fatigue, or physical discomfort, may be overridden in order to accomplish these priorities. It is as though, most of the time, we hover just above our somatic and sensory experience, not allowing it to be the primary determinant of our actions without conscious decision-making. For the traumatized individual, however, the intensity of trauma-related emotions and sensorimotor reactions often disorganizes the individual's cognitive capacities, interfering with the ability for cognitive processing and top-down regulation. This phenomenon has been described as "bottom-up hijacking" and is a frequent source of problems for trauma survivors (LeDoux, 2002).

When bottom-up hijacking occurs, dysregulated autonomic arousal generates strong waves of body sensations and affects, which in turn are interpreted as data that confirm the cognitive conviction of threat, exposure, or helplessness. For example, if a body sensation such as rapid heart rate, is interpreted as fear or panic, each of those aspects of the experience—sensorimotor and emotional—inflate and compound the other. If, in addition they are interpreted as meaning, "I am not safe," both physical sensation and emotion will further intensify, and arousal can quickly escalate beyond the person's tolerance or integrative capacity. Adaptive top-down regulation is temporarily lost, leaving the individual at the mercy of bottom-up hijacking.

The idea that what we think directs how we feel is a fundamentally important development of Cartesian theory which has informed the influential therapies of the western world. However, if traumatic memories largely consist of reactivated nonverbal implicit-type memories and habitual procedural responses with limited explicit memory components, then such memories may not be transformed adequately by insight alone (Grigsby & Stevens, 2000; Siegel, 1999; Sykes, 2004). We propose that sensorimotor interventions that directly address the

body can work to process implicit-type memories, challenge procedural learning and help to regulate dysregulated autonomic arousal.

In Sensorimotor psychotherapy, the client's cognitions are engaged to evoke mindful observation of the interplay of their perceptions, emotions, movements, sensations, impulses, and thoughts (Kurtz 1990). In the act of noticing their bodily experience, innate somatic regulatory capacities, or "resources," become spontaneously available or can be evoked by the therapist: resources such as taking a breath, adjusting the spine, making a movement, orienting perceptually and physically to the environment. For example, with the help of his therapist, Jim realized that the perpetual slump in his spine had served to maintain his feelings of inferiority, helplessness and passivity. As this component of his early abusive experience was addressed somatically, his posture gradually became more erect, transformed from a physical liability to a physical resource that supported his newly found sense of well-being and competency. His thoughts correspondingly started to become less negative, his emotions more buoyant. In the hands of an informed body-oriented psychotherapist the client's body gradually becomes his ally rather than his enemy in the process of healing from trauma. Such somatic changes often in and of themselves help to resolve the habitual trauma-related responses or provide enough stability to allow more intense exposure to traumatic material.

# Bottom-up Dysregulation and the Window of Tolerance

The characteristic components of the trauma response, a poor tolerance for stress and arousal with consequent affect dysregulation, render traumatized individuals either hyperaroused, experiencing "too much" activation, or hypoaroused, experiencing "too little" (van der Kolk, et al, 1996). In neither state can information be processed effectively, top-down regulation is lost as that patient feels endangered and meaning-making becomes biased by the danger signals. The hyperaroused patient is tormented by intrusive re-experiencing of the trauma. Whereas the perceptual distortions and losses for the hypoaroused patient can involve not only a sense of separation from the self, as in derealization and depersonalization, but also motor weakness, paralysis, ataxia, numbing of inner body sensation, as well as cognitive abnormalities, such as amnesia, fugue states, and confusional states (Van der Hart, Nijenhuis, Steele & Brown, 2004). Although hyperarousal symptoms and intrusive re-experiencing are commonly considered the hallmark symptoms of trauma, not all trauma patients respond to trauma reminders with hyperarousal, in one study, 30% of subjects responded with hypoarousal and emotional symptoms when hearing their trauma scripts read to them (Lanius, et al., 2002).

In order to put the past in the past, it is necessary in therapy to process traumatic experience in a state of "optimal arousal." The optimal zone between the two extreme physiological states of autonomic hyper- and hypoarousal has been described by Daniel Siegel (1999) as the "window of tolerance," the range of activation within which the individual can experience psychophysiological arousal as tolerable or manageable. In this zone the patient can integrate information on cognitive, emotional and sensorimotor levels. All of the interventions characteristic of sensorimotor psychotherapy either seek to develop or rely upon the patient's achievement of a stable and generous window of tolerance.

The extremes of autonomic arousal that might have been adaptive at the moment of danger ultimately become the source of therapeutic impasse when the patient cannot maintain arousal within the window of tolerance (Chefetz, 2000). As a threat is first perceived, an instinctive mind/body chain reaction is set in motion, involving cascades of neurochemicals that mobilize the sympathetic nervous system and prepare the individual for flight, fight and freeze defenses. When adaptive responses are successful, the body utilizes and metabolizes the neurochemicals and arousal may gradually return to an optimal zone when the threatening stimulus has receded or disappeared. However, in the wake of a traumatic experience, this return to baseline does not always occur. Especially in cases of extreme or prolonged trauma, or in the context of subsequent inadequacies in soothing and relational support, the individual may have difficulty re-calibrating autonomic arousal (Cloitre, Stovall-McClough & Chemtob, 2004; Herman, 1995; Nemeroff, 2004; van der Kolk, et al., 1996).

Chronic hyperarousal creates a vicious cycle: a hyperaroused nervous system increases vulnerability to state-dependent memory retrieval triggered by trauma-related stimuli, which then results in "increased access to traumatic memories and involuntary intrusions of the trauma, which lead in turn to even more arousal" (van der Kolk, et al., 1996, p. 305). These intrusive perceptual memories appear as symptoms, such as flashbacks and nightmares, causing a rapid heart rate, elevated blood pressure, and altered skin conductance associated with hyperarousal (Lanius, Bluhm, Lanius, Pain, in press; Orr, McNally, Rosen, & Shalev, 2004; Rosen, 2004). Because repeated traumatic responses can result in kindling of survival-related neural pathways, traumatized people tend to become increasingly more vulnerable to progressively minor triggers

(Post, Weiss & Smith, 1995). They remain autonomically "on guard" against danger (either hyperaroused and hypervigilent or hypoaroused and numbly passive), and they fail to notice or integrate new data from the present that tells them that the danger is over. People with trauma-related disorders loose somatic connection to present reality: at a body level, these responses are experienced as the past event happening again and again (van der Kolk, et al., 1996). In sensorimotor psychotherapy, connection to the present is maintained through the use of mindfulness-based techniques. By keeping the treatment focused on the patient's here-and-now somatic experience in the session, by mindfully noticing the trauma as it manifests in changes in heart rate, breathing and muscle tone, the individual is encouraged to experience being "here" now, while acknowledging the "there and then" of traumatic experience.

#### **Defensive Subsystems**

In the context of threat, hyper- and hypoarousal states are accompanied by two general types of defensive responses: either mobilizing defenses (such as fight or flight), or immobilizing defenses (such as freezing and collapse/submission) or both. No one defensive response is "better" than another: all are potentially adaptive and effective at diminishing threat, depending on the situation and the capabilities of the individual. We propose that inflexibility among these defensive subsystems and their over-activity in the absence of threat, involves chronic dysregulated arousal and contributes to the traumatized person's continued distress after the traumatic event is over.

The mobilizing defenses of fight and flight are characterized by arousal of the sympathetic nervous system and the corresponding neurochemically-mediated physical reactions. Flight is probably the most common response to threat when successful escape is probable (Nijenhuis, 1999). When the flight response is activated, the large muscles are primed and ready to mobilize for flight and awareness of any pain or injury is diminished. Flight can be understood not only as running away from danger but also as running toward the person or place that can provide safety (Bowlby, 1988). Versions of the flight response can be observed in patients in a variety of additional, less obvious behaviors, such as twisting, turning, or backing away from a perceived source of danger. If the chance of escape is remote and the threat closes in, the potential victim's attempts at flight may become increasingly frantic. When flight becomes impossible fighting may provide the alternative of self-defense (Nijenhuis & Van der

Hart, 1999). The fight response is often provoked when the victim feels trapped or whenever aggression might effectively secure safety.

Mobilizing defenses also include innumerable patterns of skilled responses that are both learned and spontaneous and enacted automatically in the course of safely performing physical activities. For example, the ability to drive or operate machinery requires the incorporation of complex movements that, through repetition, become action tendencies that can be executed without thought, such as putting on the brakes and turning the steering wheel to prevent an accident. Such defensive actions anticipate and correct for possible difficulties without invoking flight/fight systems and include such motor acts as engaging the righting reflexes during a near fall, raising an arm for protection from a falling object, avoiding a rock in a downhill ski run, and so on.

In the animal kingdom, the mobilizing defenses give way to immobilizing responses when the former are ineffective or cannot ensure survival (Misslin, 2003; Nijenhuis & Van der Hart, 1999).

According to Nijenhuis and Van der Hart (1999) a child who is being sexually or physically abused by a caregiver is not in a psychological position that enables flight. In some situations running or fighting (mobilizing defenses) would worsen the situation for the child being physically or sexually abused or who witnesses violence, increasing the danger and provoking more violence.

We identify three main immobilizing defenses: freezing, limp passivity or feigning death and submissive behaviors. There seem to be several states which have been described as freezing, we will briefly review them here, although it is the last description which is most clearly aligned with the immobilizing defense. Clients who have experienced freezing frequently report that they were very aware of the environment, especially of threat cues, potential escape routes or protective impulses, feeling energized and tense, ready and able to run if needed. Misslin (2003, p. 58) described freezing as "alert immobility," where there is complete cessation of movement except for respiration and movement of the eyes. This freezing appears to involve a highly engaged sympathetic system in which "muscle tone, heart rate, sensory acuity, and alertness are all high (Lewis, Kelly & Allen, 2004), with the individual waiting for more data from the threat before taking action. Freezing may be the best defense when the threat is a possibility rather than a certainty, in which case flight would be most effective. Another version of freezing occurs when the predator is still at a distance and motionless behavior may prevent detection. This kind of freezing occurs as a "preventative" measure in nature, as when baby deer are left camouflaged in tall grass while the mother is away foraging for food. For children growing up in traumatogenic environments, freezing behavior often accompanies hiding from threat, for example, in the closet, behind a chair or under the stairs. Freezing promotes safety in these instances, because the child is still and quiet it increases the likelihood that he or she will remain un-noticed. Clients also describe a third type of freezing as feeling "paralyzed," terrifyingly incapable of moving and unable to breath. This version is associated with a sense of being "trapped." There is a concurrently high level of arousal, combined with an inability to take action (Levine, 1997; Siegel, 1999). Siegel (1999) has postulated that with this kind of freezing, both the sympathetic and the parasympathetic systems are aroused simultaneously, which produces muscular constriction paired with a feeling of paralysis.

As we have noted freezing is accompanied by sympathetic nervous system arousal, whereas the immobilizing defenses of feigning death, behavioral shutdown, and/or fainting are powered by the parasympathetic dorsal branch of the vagus nerve (Porges, 1995). These defensive variants (feigning death, behavioral shutdown and or fainting) of the immobilizing defensive responses are apparent states of passivity that involves the subject becoming "limp:" the muscles become flaccid rather than tense and breathing shallow (Holman, Silver, 1998; Levine, 1997; Scaer, 2001). Patients often describe this condition as feeling "trancelike or dreamlike", it is accompanied by a reduced capacity to attend to both the external environment or to internal phenomena. Anesthesia, analgesia, and the slowing of muscular/skeletal responses (Levine, 1997; Nijenhuis, 1999; Van der Hart, van Dijke, van Son, & Steele, 2000) often cooccur in this group of responses as well.

Submissive behaviors (such as crouching, avoiding eye contact, and generally appearing physically smaller and therefore non-threatening) are also common among traumatized individuals. Submissive behaviors serve a protective and preventative function, as they "aim at preventing or interrupting aggressive reactions" (Misslin, 2003, p. 59). Body language in these circumstances is characterized by non-aggressive movements, automatic obedience and helpless compliance in which the eyes are lowered and the back is bowed before the perpetrator. A version of this condition, described as "robotization" has been noted in Nazi concentration camp survivors; it includes mechanical behavior and automatic obedience, without question or thought,

to the demands of others (Krystal, 1988). Elements of the behavior, such as downward flexion (head ducking), appear to be part of the fixed action patterns of fear. As a result of chronic abuse, it is not uncommon for patients to characteristically respond to threat cues with mechanistic compliance or resigned submission, which may be wrongly understood as collusion or agreement, this can be seen for example, in the patient who perfunctorily allows a male relative into her apartment despite knowing he will rape her as he has done in the past. Predatory or abusive individuals often seek to evoke these behaviors in others, taking advantage of the instinctive defensive response to elicit automatic compliance with the abuse (Herman, 1992).

## **Uncompleted mobilizing defenses**

When the cascade of mobilizing and immobilizing defensive actions is evoked, some of the actions, in particular the mobilizing defenses, that might have enabled escape or the warding off of danger have been rendered ineffective or interrupted and left incomplete. An automobile accident victim might have felt the impulse to turn the steering wheel but was unable to execute the action before she hit the oncoming car. The sexual abuse survivor might have wanted to fight her perpetrator but was overpowered and unable to follow through. These incomplete actions of defense may subsequently manifest as chronic symptoms. As Herman (1992, p. 34) states, "Each component of the ordinary response to danger, having lost its utility, tends to persist in an altered and exaggerated state long after the actual danger is over". If a person when endangered, experiences the instinct to fight back or flee but is unable to execute these actions, this uncompleted sequence of possible defensive actions may persist in distorted forms. The individual may experience their 'fight and flight' muscles held in a chronically tightened pattern, heightened and unstable aggressive impulses, or a chronic lack of tone or sensation in a particular muscle group. As well, many if not most, patients come to therapy exhibiting chronic immobilizing defensive tendencies in their body which in turn profoundly influences their emotions and cognitions.

In sensorimotor psychotherapy, these patients are helped to rediscover their truncated mobilizing defensive impulses through tracking their bodily movements and sensations that emerge during the therapy session. For example, a patient who had to submit to her caregiver's sexual abuse as a child, in therapy discovered her forgotten, dormant impulse to push away and flee to protect herself. As she mindfully re-experienced how her body ultimately did not resist

the abuse and automatically submitted, she noticed a tightening in her jaw accompanied by muscle tension that went from the jaw down the neck into her shoulder and arm. In response to the therapist's encouragement to continue studying her tension, the tightening continued to increase, and her hands curled up into a fist. Being able to observe and attend to how her body wanted to respond then, she became aware of the previously aborted physical urge to not only punch at her father but also run away, reflected in a tightening and feeling of energy in her legs. These physical impulses that she did not, could not, act upon at the time of the abuse appeared spontaneously as she became meticulously aware of her physical sensations and impulses as she recalled the abuse in therapy. As she subsequently discovered, the truncated, lost impulses to resist had become encoded not only in the praxis of submission, but also as beliefs or automatic assumptions of "I don't deserve to defend myself."

Sensorimotor psychotherapy thus addresses the failed processing of the old trauma directly as it is 'found' in the present moment in the embodied experience of the patient. The sensorimotor-informed therapist carefully observes with the patient how the impulses to active or mobilizing defenses were overwhelmed, and how instead they resorted to the immobilizing defenses, they froze, submitted or became hypoaroused and "floppy" as Lewis, et al (2004) describes the mammalian immobilizing defense of feigning death. By evoking the somatic responses to the trauma in the session, the therapist helps the patient transform immobilizing defenses into mobilizing defenses. The patient can feel the full, completed experience of his or her capacity to defend through physical action, within her window of tolerance, instead of repeatedly re-experiencing the truncated, aborted, active defense or the frozen, dissociated or submissive immobilizing responses. Thus, prior to treatment, unresolved trauma insidiously predicts the individual's future before the future has happened. The future has been prescribed as hopeless by the past. Until our abused patient can experience the satisfaction of performing her active defensive actions fully, her future seemed to hold only further abuse and disappointment as she continuously fails to defend herself. As a patient consciously distinguishes her mobilizing physical defensive action from her immobilizing physical response to the original trauma, her new actions can become conscious and available to her, and as a result the future can hold more promise. Rather than insight alone, it is the actual experience of mobilizing action with conscious intention and awareness, while simultaneously addressing the cognitive distortions and emotional reactions that helps effect such change.

### **Sensorimotor Treatment: Bottom-up Interventions**

Thus, in place of focusing on the verbal description of traumatic events, sensorimotor treatment focuses on the re-activation of autonomic hyper- or hypoarousal and defensive action tendencies as these occur within the therapy hour. In a bottom-up approach, the narrative becomes a vehicle for activating these physiological responses and movements so that they can be studied and ultimately transformed. The therapist and client have an opportunity to work with the implicit elements of traumatic memories by directing the client's awareness away from the verbal components of memory to the non-verbal residue of the trauma. Somatic 'bottom-up' interventions that address the repetitive, unbidden, physical sensations of hyperarousal and hypoarousal, together with movement inhibitions, can then be integrated with more traditional 'top-down' interventions that help to transform the narrative of the trauma and facilitate the development of a reorganized somatic sense of self. The sense of self is represented not only in beliefs and emotional responses, but also in physical organization, postural habits and movements of the body. An integrated approach to soma and psyche helps these patients regulate their physical experiences so that their corresponding sense of self feels grounded, resourced and oriented toward present experience.

Sensorimotor psychotherapy builds on and adds to traditional, widely accepted psychotherapeutic understandings and principles. For instance, sensorimotor psychotherapy, along with talk therapies, (regardless of theoretical model) recognizes the necessity of a good therapeutic alliance between therapist and patient. However, the alliance in a sensorimotor treatment is built "bottom-up" as the therapist supplements for the patient as another pair of eyes and thoughtful appreciation of their difficulties, taking on the role of an "auxiliary cortex" for the client (Diamond, Balvin, & Diamond, 1963) and "affect regulator of the patient's dysregulated states in order to provide a growth-facilitating environment for the patient's immature affectregulating structures" (Schore, 2001 p. 17) That is, as the therapist tracks the patient's sensorimotor experience, he or she notices the physiological signs of dysregulation and applies the appropriate interventions to settle or increase arousal: adjusting voice tone, energy level, pacing, choice of vocabulary, mindful observation, movement, amount of emotional or cognitive content. As the patient experiences the calm and relief that accompanies optimal arousal, or a return to their window of tolerance, the therapeutic alliance is strengthened. With an increase in the sense of safety the client's exploration system becomes less inhibited. Alternatively stated, as the client's chronic fear subsides she finds herself freer to explore both her inner and outer world like a child with a secure attachment base.

In the traumatized client chronically activated somatic defenses and their corresponding emotion of fear tends to override and inhibit the exploratory system which is also a wired-in mammalian motivational system. Panksepp (1998, p. 145) maintains that the exploration system "drives and energizes many mental complexities that humans experience as persistent feelings of interest, curiosity, sensation seeking, and, in the presence of a sufficiently complex cortex, the search for higher meaning". Evoking the patient's exploration system in therapy stimulates curiosity about how they can combat and/or inhibit habitual fear-based survival responses. At the moment of threat, instinctual survival defenses take precedence over cognitive functions. Long after the traumatic events are over, activation of the prefrontal cortices and cognitive functions can be intermittently inhibited in response to trauma-related stimuli (van der Kolk, et al., 1996), hindering the capacity for successful self-observation, exploration, and new learning. The therapist's job is to "wake up" the exploratory system by promoting a somatic sense of safety and cultivating the capacity for observation, curiosity and mindfulness in the client. Observation of present moment's experience engages the executive and observing functions of the prefrontal cortex, and the capacity to maintain this observing focus prevents clients from becoming overwhelmed by the re-activation of a traumatic event. For example, as a client discusses a traumatic motor vehicle accident in therapy, she is asked by her therapist to become interested in how she is organizing the experience—what is-happening inside her—as she begins to talk and think about her accident. The therapist gently and empathically interrupts the story to direct the client's awareness away from their verbal dialogue to her inner body sensations, movement impulses, and sensory experience. In a state of mindful observation, she notices that as she talks about the accident she has the thought she is going to die. Next, she observes her body tensing in response to the thought and she notes a feeling of panic. Rather than reliving this experience, as she might have if the therapist had not carefully directed her attention to the present moment's organization of it, she steps back, observes it with curiosity, and reports how it is manifesting internally now. The panic subsides, her heart rate returns to normal and she 'knows' at a body level that she did not die; she survived. With this somatic differentiation of 'having' an experience and exploring how she has 'organized' it, the traumatic event is relegated to the past,

it no longer causes her to 'have' the experience again, she experiences the felt sense that it is over: "I thought I was going to die, but I didn't."

Often, just by uncoupling trauma-related emotion from body sensation and attending exclusively to the physical sensations of the arousal (without attributing meaning or connecting emotion to it), the physiological responses diminish and settle. This conveys at a bodily level that the danger is past and all is now safe (Levine, 1997). These transformations at the sensorimotor level result in improvements in emotional and cognitive processing, emotions can be better tolerated, and cognitive processing reflects the incorporation of information from the body.

Martin, a Viet Nam veteran, came to therapy to "get rid of" nightmares and feelings of being chronically emotionally overwhelmed. In the course of sensorimotor psychotherapy, Martin learned to track his physiological arousal as he experienced it in his body. He learned to pay active attention to his rapid heart rate and the shaking and trembling that occurred after the original combat and which he had subsequently re-experienced intermittently over the years. Over the course of several therapy sessions he learned to describe his inner body sensations, noting the tingling in his arms preceding the shaking, the slight accelerated heart rate, and the increase of tension in his legs. As his capacity to observe and describe bodily sensations developed, he learned to accept these sensations without trying to inhibit them. The therapist instructed him to track these sensations as they moved or changed or "sequenced." Martin noticed that, as he tracked the sequence of sensations progressing through his body, the shaking gradually became quiet, his heart rate returned to baseline, and the tension in his legs diminished. Martin's body relaxed and his arousal quieted, he was less afraid and hopeless and he experienced a somatic feeling he described as "calm" and "safe."

Thus, in sensorimotor psychotherapy, top-down, cortically mediated functions are harnessed to *observe and facilitate* sensorimotor processing. Clients observe and report (both of which are cognitive functions) the interplay of physical sensations, movements and impulses and notice their internal reactions as they try out new physical actions. They also learn to observe the effects of their thoughts and emotions on their body; noticing in which part of the body they feel the impact of a particular thought or how the body organizes a particular emotion. Meaningmaking emerges from the observing and subsequent transformation of habitual response tendencies. For example, as Martin's body experienced the completion of the trembling and shaking, he finally achieved the somatic experience of "peacetime" decades after the end of the Vietnam War. He could finally recognize the feelings of calm and safety meant the events which had continued to torment him were in the past. For the incest survivor who was able to finally execute mobilizing defensive responses, the meaning that emerged was, "I can defend myself." Integrated meaning-making was possible for these patients when they could experience a transformation of sensorimotor, emotional and cognitive responses to their traumatic experiences.

Thoughts and emotions are viable targets of intervention that can support resolution of the traumatic experience. Top-down approaches that attempt to regulate arousal, emotions and cognitions are a necessary part of trauma therapy, but if such interventions over manage, ignore or suppress body processes, traumatic responses may not be resolved. Similarly, bottom-up interventions that reinforce bottom-up hijacking, or fail to include cognitive processing as well, can sabotage integration of the effects of trauma and may lead to endless repetitive flashbacks, or hyposarousal states secondary re-traumatization, or chronic trauma kindling.

We believe that if the use of insight, understanding, and somatically informed top-down management of symptoms is thoughtfully balanced with bottom-up processing of trauma-related sensations, arousal, movement, and emotions the complex effects of trauma are more likely to respond to treatment. Effective treatments for trauma involve evoking the fragmented, cognitive, emotional *and* sensorimotor responses within the patient's window of tolerance, and facilitating new, adaptive responses which can lead to the integration of past and present, belief and body, emotion and meaning.

# References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. (IV-TR) Washington DC.
- Aposhyan, S. (2004) *Bodymind paychotherapy: Principles, techniques and practical applications.* NY: WW Norton.
- Bowlby, J. (1988). A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development. Basic Books.
- Bradley, R., Greene, J., Russ, E., Dutra, M. A., Westen, D. (2005). A Multidimensional Metaanalysis of Psychotherapy for PTSD. *The American Journal of Ppsychiatry.*, 162:2.
- Brewin, C. R., Dalgleish, T., Joseph, S. (1996) A duel representation theory of psot traumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Review*, 103(4), 670-686.
- Brewin, C. R., Holmes, E. A. (2003). Psychological theories of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 23(3), 339-76.
- Burnstein, A. (1986). Treatment noncompliance in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychosomatics*, 27, 37-40.
- Chefetz, R. A. (2000). Affect Dysregulation as a way of life. *Journal of the American Acadamy* of Psychoanalysis, 28(2), 289-303.
- Chefetz, R. A. (2004). The Paradox of "Detachment Disorders: Binding-Disruption of Dissociative Process. *Psychiatry*, 67(3), 246-255.
- Chu, J. A. (1998). *Rebuilding shattered lives: The responsible treatment of complex posttraumatic and dissociative disorders.* New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cloitre, M. K., Stovall-McClough, M. R., Chemtob, C. (2004). Therapeutic Alliance, Negative Mood Regulation and Treatment Outcome in child Abuse-related Posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 72(3), 411-416.
- Cozolinno, L. (2002). *The Neuroscience of Psychotherapy: building and rebuilding the human brain*. New York: Norton and Company.
- Davidson, J. R., Jughes, D., Blazer, D. G., George, L. K. (1991). Post-traumatic stress disorder in the community: an epidemiological study. *Psyhological Medicine*,21, 713-721.

- Devilly, G. J. & Foa, E. B. (2001). The investigation of exposure and cognitive therapy.
  Comment on Tarrier et al 1999. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 69,114-116.
- Diamond, S., Balvin, R. & Diamond, F. (1963). *Inhibition and choice*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Faustman, W. O. & White, P. A. (1989). Diagnostic and psychopharmacological treatment characteristics of 536 inpatients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 177, 154-159.
- Fisher, A., Murray, E., & Bundy, A. (1991). Sensory integration: Theory and practice. Philadelphia: Davis.
- Foa, E. B. & Rothbaum, B. O. (1998). *Treating the trauma of rape: cognitive-behavioral therapy for PTSD*. New York: Guilford.
- Foa, E. B., Dancu, C. V., Hembree, E. A., Jaycox, L. H., Meadows, E. A., Street, G. P. (1999). A comparison of exposure therapy, stress inoculation training, and their combination for reducing posttraumatic stress disorder in female assault victims. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 67 (2), 194-200.
- Grigsby, J., & Stevens, D. (2000). *Neorodynamics of Personality*. New York, London: Guilford Press.
- Herman, J. (1992). Trauma and recovery. New York: Basic Books.
- Herman, J. L. (1995). Complex PTSD: *A syndrome in survivors of prolonged and repeated trauma in Psychotraumatology*. New York: Plemum Press.
- Holman, E. A., Silver, R. C. (1998). Getting 'stuck' in the past: temporal orientation and coping with trauma. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74, 1146-1163.
- Kepner, J. (1987) *Body Process: A gestalt approach to working with the body in psychotherapy.* NY: Gestalt Institute of Cleveland Press.
- Krystal, H. (1988). *Integration and self-healing: Affect, trauma, alexithymia*. Hillsdale, NJ: Analytic Press.
- Kurtz, R. (1990). <u>Body-centered psychotherapy: The Hakomi method</u>. Mendicino, CA: LifeRhythm

Kulka, R. A., Schlenger, W. E., Fairbank, J. A., Hough, R. L., Jordan, BK, Marmar CR, et al. (1990). *Trauma and the Vietnam War Generation: Report of Findings From the National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment study*. New York: Brunner/Marzel.

- Lanius, R. A., Bluhm, R. L., Lanius, U., Pain, C. A Review of Neuroimaging Studies of Hyperarousal and Dissociation in PTSD: Heterogeneity of Response to Symptom Provocation. *J Psychol Res*, In Press.
- Lanius, R. A., Williamson, P. C., Boksman, K., Densmore, M., Gupta, M., Neufeld, R.W. et al. (2002). Brain activation during script-driven imagery induced dissociative responses in PTSD: A functional magnetic resonance imaging investigation. *Biological Psychiatry*, *52*, 305-311.
- LeDoux, J. (1996). *The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- LeDoux, J. (2002). Synaptic Self: How our brains become who we are. Penguin Group.
- Levine, Peter with Ann Frederick. (1997). *Waking the tiger: Healing trauma*. Berkeley, CA: North Atlantic Books.
- Lewis, L., Kelly, K. & Allen, J. (2004) *Restoring hope and trust: An illustrated guide to mastering trauma*. Baltimore, MD: Sidran Institute Press.
- MacLean, P.D. (1985). Brain evolution relating to family, play, and the separation call. *Archives* of *General Psychiatry*, 42, 405-417.
- Marks, I., Lovell, K., Noshirvani, H., Livanou, M., Thrasher, S. (1998). Treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder by exposure and/or cognitive restructuring: a controlled study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 55(4), 317-25.
- Misslin, R. (2003). The defense system of fear: behavior and neurocircuitry Le systeme defensif de la peur: comportement of neurocircuiterie. *Neuroophysiiologie-clinique*, 3355-66.
- Nemeroff, C. B. (2004). Neurobiological Consequences of Childhood Trauma. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 65 (suppl I), 18-28.
- Nijenhuis, E.R.S. (1999). Somatoform dissociation: Phenomena, measurement, and theoretical *issues*. The Netherlands: Van Gorcum and Comp. B. V.
- Nijenhuis, E.R.S., Van der Hart, O. (1999). Forgetting and reexperiencing trauma: From anesthesia to pain. In Goodwin, J. and Attias, R. Splintered reflections: Images of the body in trauma. Basic Books.

- North, C. S., Nixon, S. J., Shariat, S., Mallonee, S., McMillen, J. C., Spitznagel, E. L., et al. (1999). Psychiatric disorders among survivors of the Oklahoma City bombing. *JAMA*, 282, 755-762.
- Ogden, P., & Minton, K. (2000). Sensorimotor Psychotherapy: One Method for Processing Traumatic Memory. *Traumatology*, VI (3), article 3.
- Ogden, P. Minton, K. & Pain, C. (In press). Trauma and the Body: The Theory and Practice of Sensorimotor Psychotherapy, forthcoming with W. W. Norton.
- Orr, S., McNally, R.J., Rosen, G.M., & Shalev, A.Y. (2004). Psychophysiological reactivity: Implications for conceptualizing PTSD. In G.M. Rosen (ed.), *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Issues and Controversies*. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Panksepp, J. (1998). Affective neuroscience: The foundations of human and animal emotions. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pitman, R. K., Altman, B., Greenwals, E., Longpre, R. E., Macklin, M. L., Poire, R. E., et al. (1991). Psychiatric complications during flooding therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 52,17-20.
- Porges, S.W. (1995). Orienting in a defensive world: Mammalian modifications of our evolutionary heritage. A polyvagal theory. *Psychophysiology*, 32, 301-318. Cambridge University Press.
- Post, R. M., Weiss, S. R. B., Smith, M. A. (1995). Sensitization and Kindling: Implication for the evolving neural substrates of Post-traumatic stress disorder. In M. J. Freidman, D. S. Charney and A. Y. Deutch (Ed.), *Neurobiological and Clinical Consequences of Stress: From Normal Adaptation to PTSD*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven Publishers.
- Rosen, G.M. (ed., 2004). *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Issues and Controversies*. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rothschild, B. (2000). *The body remembers: The psychophysiology of trauma and trauma treatment*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Scaer, R. C. (2001). The Neurophysiology of Dissociation and Chronic Disease. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 26(1), 73-91.
- Schore, A. (2001). The effects of early relational trauma on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 22, 201-269.

- Schore, A.N. (2002). Dysregulation of the right brain: a fundamental mechanism of traumatic attachment and the psychopathogenesis of posttraumatic stress disorder. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 36(1), 9-30.
- Scott, M. J., Stradling, S. G., (1997). Client compliance with exposure treatments for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 10, 523-526.
- Shapiro, F. (1989). Efficacy of the Eye Movement Desensitization procedure in the treatment of traumatic memories. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 2, 199-223.
- Siegel, D. (1999). The developing mind. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Sykes, W. M. (2004). The Limits of Talk: Bessel van der Kolk wants to transform the treatment of trauma *Psychotherapy Networker*, *28 (1)* 30-41.
- Tarrier, N. (2001). What can be learned from clinical trials? Reply to Devilly and Foa *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 69,117-118.
- Tarrier, N., Sommerfield, C., Pilgrim, H. & Humphreys, L. (1999). Cognitive therapy or imaginal exposure in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. Twelve-month follow-up. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 175, 571-5.
- Ursano, R. J., Bell, C., Eth, S., Friedman, M., Norwood, A., Pfefferbaum, B. et al. (2004). Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161, 3-31.
- Van der Hart, O. & Steele, K. (1999). Relieving or Reliving Childhood Trauma? A Commentary on Miltenburg and Singer. *Theory and Psychology*, 9, 533-540.
- Van der Hart, O., Nijenhuis, E., Steele, K., & Brown, D. (2004). Trauma-related dissociation: conceptual clarity lost and found. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 38, 906-914.
- Van der Hart, O; van Dijke, A., van Son, M, & Steele, K. (2000) Somatoform dissociation in traumatized world war 1 combat soldiers: A neglected clinical heritage. *Journal of Trauma and Dissociaiton*, 1(4), 33-66
- van der Kolk, B., McFarlane, A.C. & Weisaeth, L. (Eds.). (1996). *Traumatic stress: The effects* of overwhelming experience on mind, body and society. New York: Guilford Press.
- van der Kolk, B.A. (1994) The body keeps the score: Memory and the emerging psychobiology of post traumatic stress. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, *1*, 253-265.

van der Kolk, B.A., Pelcovitz, D., Roth, S., Mandel, F.S., McFarlane, A., & Herman, J.L. (1996).
 Dissociation, somatization, and affect dysregulation: the complexity of adaptation of trauma. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153(Suppl), 83-93.

Wilber, K. (1996). A brief history of everything. Boston: Shambhala.